UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,688	02/17/2006	Giuseppe Covino	09952002000000	2765
	7590 11/04/2008 HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER		EXAMINER	
LLP			FAN, HUA	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2456	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/04/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/568,688	COVINO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HUA FAN	2456			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I.  nely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Sec</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This     3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 37-74 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 37-74 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original than the correction of the correct	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)	4\ ☐ Intoniou Summon.	(PTO 413)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 2456

### DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the Amendment/Remarks filed on 9/17/2008. Claims 37-74 are pending.

## **Specification**

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "computer-readable medium" in claim 72 needs to be defined or exemplified in the specification.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 71 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 71 refers to claim 73, which is not a preceding claim. For the sake of examination, claim 73 is considered the parent of claim 71.

### Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments on page 16 with respect to claim 37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Applicant's arguments on page 18 with respect to claim 54 are based on the argument directed to claim 37, and therefore is also moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as indicated above for claim 37.
- 5. Applicant's arguments on page 20 with regarding to amended claim 72 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. See rejections below for details.

Art Unit: 2456

6. The rest of the argument(s) are based on the above arguments therefore are addressed based on above responses.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 8. Claims 37-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Barkai et al (US publication 2002/0032769, hereafter Barkai\_US), in view of Barkai et al (EP 1150454, hereafter Barkai\_EP).

As to claim 37, Barkai\_US disclose a system architecture for managing a telecommunication communication network (abstract) comprising network equipment (figure 3, components 33-34), said equipment having associated control interfaces (figure 5; interface between NEs and warehouse tier 52), the architecture comprising:

a base layer (figure 3, 5: warehouse tier 52) <u>for proxying said interfaces</u> and decoupling said interfaces from management functions ([0057] – [0067]); and

a support layer <u>superposed to said base layer and comprising a plurality</u> -comprised of a community of agents (figure 3-5, agent tier 50 is superposed to warehouse tier 52; figure 5, agent tier 50 comprises a plurality of agents, "Provider Agent", "Subscriber Agent", "Device Agent") co-ordinating operation of said base layer in order to support distributed management functionalities (figure 3 and 5; [0085]; [0060], "agent employ the message queue 72", which is in warehouse Tier, i.e. base layer, "to transmit messages...provides message bus services throughout the distributed systems"; [0067], for device components, which is part of agent layer, "both peer and parent-child relationships are used during network management operations to

provide for the intelligent collection of data and for the control of network elements", which indicates agents coordinate base layer

Barkai US does not expressly disclose said base layer comprising distributed process executors to execute in a distributed manner processes concerning management of said network, each process executor comprising at least one of a workflow engine, a rule engine, and a combination thereof. Barkai EP discloses a network management layer comprising distributed process executors to execute in a distributed manner processes concerning management of said network, each process executor comprising a workflow engine (figure 3; [0042]-[0044], device components (DCs) are equivalent to distributed process executors comprising workflow engine, see figure 3 flowchart for workflow processed by device components (DCs); [0044], lines 20-25, "distributed algorithm"; [0042], lines 42-46 for network management. Examiner interprets the terms "workflow" according to specification page 10, lines 20-30, "a work flow is essentially full or partial automation...information or tasks are passed from one participant to another for action, according to a set of procedural rules...can be represented through a flow chart with a sequence of tasks and temporal and logical dependencies between tasks...", "workflow engine" according to specification page 10, line 31 – page 11, line 34, "workflow engine is the component in a workflow automation program that possesses all the information related to the procedures, steps in a procedure, and rules for each step", "process executor" according to specification [0097', "Process executors for any layer are intended to be a workflow (a flowchart), a rule engine, or a combination of the two". As disclosed by Barkai EP, message (information) is passed from one participant (DC) to another participant (another DC) for action (see figure 3, component 320, "Perform actin at DC level of responsibility"), according to a set of procedural rules (as indicated

in the flow chart, such as checking whether message is required by parent DC, action required within DC, etc)).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the method disclosed by Barkai\_US with the method disclosed by Barkai\_EP regarding a network management layer comprising distributed process executors to execute in a distributed manner processes concerning management of said network, each process executor comprising a workflow engine. The suggestion/motivation of the combination would have been to provide a system-wide top-down flow with each DC performing its independent computations which collectively achieve the external request (Barkai\_EP, [0044], lines 4-7) and to provide a distribute algorithm in a bottom-up flow by propagating to other DCs which change their state and/or perform their part in the distributed system (Barkai\_EP, col. 8, lines 20-25).

As to claim 38, Barkai\_US-Barkai\_EP discloses the architecture of claim 37, wherein said distributed management functionalities include FCAPS (Barkai\_US, Fault ([0049], "fault"; [0062], "audit log...reporting software events"), Configuration ([0051]-[0052];[0034]-[0036]; [0054]-[0055], configuration information is gathered through both "NE translator" and one of the "Collector"s; [0031]; [0045]; [0048]), Accounting ([0031]: billing), Performance ([0031]; [0036]-[0037]; [0045]), Security (claim 3; also SNMP protocol itself provides security such as using public/private community therefore those proxy modules that need to send SNMP request to SNMP collector also supports security, such as "NE translator" which is implied) functionalities. It is to be noted that both Accounting and Performance that is supported by the network management necessarily relies on statistics data gathered from network elements

therefore those proxy modules such as "NE translator" and "SNMP collector" that are used to collect data from network elements support such functionalities.

As to claim 39, Barkai\_US-Barkai\_EP discloses the architecture of claim 37, wherein said base layer comprises: a sub-layer of protocol adapters for interfacing a set of network equipment offering a given protocol (Barkai\_US, figure 5: component 75, "SNMP collector"); and a sub-layer of resource proxy modules (Barkai\_US, figure 5: "SNMP collector", "Instrumentation Manager", and "NE Translator"), each said proxy module providing a representation of the configuration of given network equipment according to a defined information model (Barkai\_US, figure 5, "NE Translator", "DB Translator", "DS Translator", "Audit Log", "Instrumentation Manager"; "SNMP collector"; [0048]; [0057]-[0065]; [0063], note: SNMP uses MIB (management information base)).

As to claim 40, Barkai\_US-Barkai\_EP discloses the architecture of claim 39, wherein said resource proxy modules are configured for aligning said representation to the network of given network equipment by at least one operation selected from the group of: performing all the management actions on said network by invoking operation through at least one associate protocol adapter (Barkai\_US, figure 5: 'instrumentation manager''; "SNMP collector''; [0063]); receiving at said resource proxy modules all the notifications sent by said network equipment (Barkai\_US, figure 5: "Audit log", and "SNMP collector" by SNMP commands such as "trap" [0062]-[0063]); and performing a periodical verification of alignment between the representation of the network equipment and said network equipment (Barkai\_US, figure 5: "SNMP collector" by SNMP commands, such as "get"; [0063] and [0054]).

As to claim 41, Barkai\_US-Barkai\_EP discloses the architecture of claim 40, wherein said resource proxy modules are configured for enrichment with element manager information (Barkai\_US, [0063] and [0054]).

As to claim 42, Barkai\_US-Barkai\_EP discloses the architecture of claim 40, wherein said resource proxy modules are configured for running processes using said process executor (Barkai EP, figure 3; [0043]-[0044]).

As to claim 43, Barkai\_US-Barkai\_EP disclose the architecture of claim 40, wherein said resource proxy modules are configured for interacting directly with one another in an interworking relationship (Barkai\_US, figure 5, "NE translator" interacts directly with "Instrumentation manager"; [0063]; [0090], "instrumentation manager routes the request to an appropriate collector").

As to claim 44, Barkai\_US-Barkai\_EP discloses the architecture of claim 37, wherein said agents in said community are configured for running vendor and technology independent services (Barkai\_US, [0060]).

As to claim 45, Barkai\_US-Barkai\_EP discloses the architecture of claim 37, comprising at least one manager application (Barkai\_US, [0049] – [0050]) configured for performing functions selected from the group of: managing distribution of processes between said base layer and said support layer; managing distribution of information models between said base layer and said support layer (Barkai\_US, [0049]-[0050]; [0054]); monitoring the state of the architecture on the basis of information provided by said agents in said community (Barkai\_US, [0049]-[0050]; [0050]; [0054]; [0061]-[0062]); interacting with external systems (Barkai\_US, [0049]-[0050]; [0060]); and executing management processes (Barkai\_US, [0050]).

As to claim 46, Barkai\_US-Barkai\_EP discloses the architecture of claim 45, wherein said at least one manager application comprises a separated, additional upper layer in said architecture (Barkai US, [0049]-[0050]).

As to claim 47, Barkai\_US-Barkai\_EP discloses the architecture of claim 45, wherein said at least one manager application is at least partly integrated to said support layer (Barkai\_US, [0054]).

As to claim 48, Barkai\_US does not expressly disclose all said layers in said architecture include process executors. Barkai et al (EP) discloses network management layer (agent layer) includes process executor (Barkai\_EP, device component, see figure 3; [0043]-[0044]).

At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the architecture disclosed by Barkai\_US with the method disclosed by Barkai\_EP regarding network management layer includes process executor. See similar motivation in claim 37 rejection.

As to claim 49, Barkai\_US-Barkai\_EP discloses the architecture of claim 48, wherein each of said process executors in each of said layers comprises a workflow (Barkai\_EP, figure 3; [0043]-[0044]).

As to claim 50, Barkai\_US-Barkai\_EP discloses the architecture of claim 37, comprising agents hosted on different machines, said agents being movable among different machines (Barkai\_US, [0059]: "distributed repository").

As to claim 51, Barkai\_US-Barkai\_EP discloses the architecture of claim 37, wherein said layers in said architecture include components adapted to perform respective functions based on respective instructions information provided to them (Barkai US, [0055]) and a data

base is provided storing said instruction information, the architecture being arranged for distributing said instruction information from said data base to said components (Barkai\_US, [0058]).

As to claim 52, Barkai\_US-Barkai\_EP discloses the architecture of claim 51, wherein said instruction information comprises at least one of:

process definitions such as comprising at least one of workflows and rules; and data model definitions (Barkai\_US, [0055]; Barkai\_EP, figure 3, [0043]-[0044]).

As to claim 53, Barkai\_US-Barkai\_EP discloses the architecture of claim 51, comprising at least one manager application configured for managing distribution of information models between said base layer and said support layer, said data base being associated with said at least one manager application (Barkai\_US, [0054]; [0058]).

Claims (54-70) are method claims corresponding to system architecture claims (37-53). Therefore they have been analyzed and rejected based upon system claims respectively.

As to claim 71, see similar rejection to claims 37 to 53 and 73.

Claim 72 is a computer-readable medium claim corresponding to method claim 54.

Therefore it has been analyzed and rejected based upon the method claim.

As to claim 73, see similar rejection and reasoning/citations in rejection to claim 38. As to claim 74, see similar rejection and reasoning/citations in rejection to claim 38.

Art Unit: 2456

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUA FAN whose telephone number is (571)270-5311. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am-6pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on (571) 272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2456

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 2456

/Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2456